Monitoring checklist for Potato with altered starch content or ratio – ENV/08/09

Monitoring checklist for Potato with altered starch content or ratio – ENV/08/09 Trait or process Specific monitor- Environment Time for							
Trait or process (WHAT RISK)		Specific monitor- ing issue identified from an ERA ¹ (WHAT CHAR- ACTER)	Monitoring methods (HOW) ²			e.g. field, natural habi- tats	Time for monitoring duration of period,
			CSM ⁴ (if identified by an ERA)	General Surveillance		applicable to CSM/GS ³ (WHERE)	timing applicable to CSM/GS (WHEN)
	volunteers (in fields)	Monitoring of volunteers		Volunteer mapping If unexpected result, identify whether GMO. Questionnaires and other survey methods		In representative environments where the GMP might survive as volunteer, e.g., agricultural fields and field margins	Five years During the growing sea-
Persistence and Invasiveness & selective advantage or disadvantage	establishment of the GMP ⁵ outside of fields	Monitoring of GMP outside fields		Mapping abundance of potato outside fields. If unexpected results, identify whether GMO. If unexpected spread, consider monitoring of non-target organisms outside field, see below.		Field margins and natural habitats in representative GMO-growing regions,	During the authorisation period, and long term if necessary. During the growing season
	Spread, persistence and accumu- lation of GMP in the environment	No relevant monitoring issues other than those accounted for above No relevant monitoring issues other than those accounted for above					
	Presence of GMP prod- ucts in the environmen	No relevant monitoring issues . The products are not foreign.					

¹ ERA – Environmental Risk Assessment ² Kjellson G. and Strandberg, M. (2001) Monitoring and surveillance of genetically modified higher plants. Guidlines for procedures and analysis of environmental effects. Birkhäuser Verlag Basel. 119 pp. VDI-Handbook Biotechnology, Part I: GMO-Monitoring, VDI 4330 (www.vdi.de)

 ³ GS – General Surveillance
 ⁴ CSM – Case Specific Monitoirng
 ⁵ GMP = genetically modified plant

28/10/08

Trait or process (WHAT RISK)		Specific monitor- ing issue identified from an ERA ¹	Monitoring methods (HOW) ²			Environment e.g. field, natural habi- tats	Time for monitoring duration of period,
		(WHAT CHAR-ACTER)	CSM ⁴ (if identified by an ERA)	General Surveillance		applicable to CSM/GS ³ (WHERE)	timing applicable to CSM/GS (WHEN)
Potential for Gene transfer	vertical to crop plants (volunteers, feral), lead- ing to plants with one or several GM traits	Monitoring issue is not proportianal to risk					
	vertical to wild plants	No relevant monitoring issues. There are no wild hybridsible relatives in EU.					
	micro-	No relevant monitoring issues. The traits do not confer a selective advantage, genes are already present and methodology is undeveloped.					
Interaction between GMP and target organisms	reduced abundance and diversity of weeds or development of new weed species						
	resistance development in animals	No relevant monitoring issues. There is no target organism					

28/10/08

Trait or process	Specific monitor- ing issue identified from an ERA ¹	Monitoring methods (HOW) ²		Environment e.g. field, natural habi- tats	duration of period,
(WHAT RISK)	(WHAT CHAR-ACTER)	CSM ⁴ (if identified by an ERA)	General Surveillance	applicable to CSM/GS ³ (WHERE)	timing applicable to CSM/GS
Resistence development in plants secondary pests Impact on non-target organisms Interaction between GMP and non-target organisms	No relevant monitoring issues. There is no target organism No relevant monitoring issues. There is no target organism Monitoring of abundance of relevant (indicator) species representing a larger set of non-target organisms Relevant indicators should be defined for representative geographic regions where cultivation will be performed depending on crop/trait combination		Identification of relevant monitoring objectives and parameters which are suitable on non-target organisms in the environment: Surveillance of abundance of relevant (indicator) species representing a larger set of non-target organisms eg: Hypogaeic phytophages Hypogaeic predators Parasitoids Earth worms (Lumbricidae) Nematodes Springtails (Collembola)	In representative fields and field margins where the GMP is grown Studies in natural habitats can be requested after unexpected results from the monitoring of GMP presence outside fields.	Two years. (Should be written in the box above.)

Trait or process (WHAT RISK)		Specific monitoring issue identified from an ERA ¹	sue identified Monitoring methods (HOW) ²		Environment e.g. field, natural habi- tats	Time for monitoring duration of period,
		(WHAT CHAR-ACTER)	CSM ⁴ (if identified by an ERA)	General Surveillance	applicable to CSM/GS ³ (WHERE)	timing applicable to CSM/GS (WHEN)
	Changes of susceptibility to nontarget pests & diseases Other Impacts on habitat diversity and biodiversity	No relevant monitoring issues. Traits do not confer disease resistance. Accounted for above. Monitoring the damage caused by pests or pesticide use. No other relevant monitoring issue has been identified for this crop/trait combination.		Pest and diseases survey linked to crops practices Pest survey (e.g. collection by knocking them off plants, count of damage by infestation) or survey on pesticide use in the crop.	In the fields where the GMP is grown	During cultivation
Changes in biogeochemical processes		Monitoring relevant soil functions/parameters		Germination and growth tests, soil parameters as pH, nutrient content, consistency etc.	In representative fields where the GMP is grown	During the authorisation period, and long term if necessary.
Changes in cultivation practices		No relevant monitoring issues. The traits are not agricultural traits.				